

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 BRITTNEY YOUNE ARIEL,

4 Plaintiff

5 v.

6 STATE OF NEVADA, et al.,

7 Defendants

Case No.: 2:24-cv-01625-APG-BNW

**Order Accepting Report and
Recommendation and Dismissing Case**

[ECF No. 4]

8 On January 2, 2025, Magistrate Judge Weksler recommended that I dismiss this case
9 without prejudice because plaintiff Brittney Ariel did not pay the filing fee or complete an
10 application to proceed in forma pauperis by the given deadline. ECF No. 4. Ariel did not object.
11 Thus, I am not obligated to conduct a de novo review of the report and recommendation. 28
12 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (requiring district courts to “make a de novo determination of those portions
13 of the report or specified proposed findings to which objection is made”); *United States v.*
14 *Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc) (“the district judge must review the
15 magistrate judge’s findings and recommendations de novo *if objection is made*, but not
16 otherwise” (emphasis in original)).

17 I THEREFORE ORDER that Magistrate Judge Weksler’s report and recommendation
18 (ECF No. 4) is accepted, and plaintiff Brittney Ariel’s complaint (ECF No. 1-1) is dismissed
19 without prejudice. The clerk of court is instructed to close this case.

20 DATED this 23rd day of January, 2025.

21 

22 ANDREW P. GORDON
23 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE